

Uniqueness properties of functionals with Lipschitzian derivative

BIAGIO RICCERI

1. Introduction

Let X be a real Hilbert space and J a C^1 functional on X . For $x_0 \in X$, $r > 0$, set $S(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| = r\}$.

Also on the basis of the beautiful theory developed and applied by Schechter and Tintarev in [2], [3], [4] and [5], it is of particular interest to know when the restriction of J to $S(0, r)$ has a unique maximum.

The aim of the present paper is to offer a contribution along this direction.

We show that such a uniqueness property holds (for suitable r) provided that J' is Lipschitzian and $J'(0) \neq 0$. At the same time, we also show that (for suitable s) the set $J^{-1}(s)$ has a unique element of minimal norm.

After proving the general result (Theorem 1), we present an application to a semilinear Dirichlet problem involving a Lipschitzian nonlinearity (Theorem 2).

2. The main result

With the usual convention $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$, our main result reads as follows:

THEOREM 1. - *Let X be a real Hilbert space and let $J : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous C^1 functional, with Lipschitzian derivative. Let L be the Lipschitz constant of J' .*

Then, for each $x_0 \in X$ with $J'(x_0) \neq 0$, if we set

$$\alpha_0 = \inf_{x \in M_{\frac{1}{L}}} J(x)$$

and

$$\beta_0 = \text{dist}(x_0, M_{\frac{1}{L}}) ,$$

where $M_{\frac{1}{L}}$ is the set of all global minima of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 - \frac{1}{L}J(x)$, we have $\alpha_0 > J(x_0)$, $\beta_0 > 0$, and the following properties hold:

(i) for every $r \in]J(x_0), \alpha_0[$ there exists a unique $y_r \in J^{-1}(r)$ such that

$$\|x_0 - y_r\| = \text{dist}(x_0, J^{-1}(r)) ;$$

(ii) for every $r \in]0, \beta_0[$ the restriction of the functional J to the set $S(x_0, r)$ has a unique global maximum.

The main tool used to get Theorem 1 is the following particular case of Theorem 3 of [1].

THEOREM A. - *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space, $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ an interval and $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a function such that $\Psi(x, \cdot)$ is concave and continuous for all $x \in X$, while $\Psi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive, with a unique local minimum for all $\lambda \in \text{int}(I)$.*

Then, one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} \Psi(x, \lambda) .$$

We will also use the two propositions below.

PROPOSITION 1. - *Let Y be a nonempty set, $f, g : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ two functions, and a, b two real numbers, with $a < b$. Let y_a be a global minimum of the function $f - ag$ and y_b a global minimum of the function $f - bg$.*

Then, one has $g(y_a) \leq g(y_b)$. If either y_a or y_b is strict and $y_a \neq y_b$, then $g(y_a) < g(y_b)$.

PROOF. We have

$$f(y_a) - ag(y_a) \leq f(y_b) - ag(y_b)$$

as well as

$$f(y_b) - bg(y_b) \leq f(y_a) - bg(y_a) .$$

Summing, we get

$$-ag(y_a) - bg(y_b) \leq -ag(y_b) - bg(y_a)$$

and so

$$(b - a)g(y_a) \leq (b - a)g(y_b)$$

from which the first conclusion follows. If either y_a or y_b is strict and $y_a \neq y_b$, then one of the first two inequalities is strict and hence so is the third one. $\triangle$

PROPOSITION 2. - *Let Y be a real Hilbert space and let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous C^1 functional whose derivative is a contraction.*

Then, for every $y_0 \in Y$, the functional $y \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|y - y_0\|^2 - \varphi(y)$ is coercive and has a unique local minimum.

PROOF. Let ν be the Lipschitz constant of φ' . So, $\nu < 1$, by assumption. For each $y \in Y$, we have

$$\varphi(y) = \varphi(0) + \int_0^1 \langle \varphi'(ty), y \rangle dt$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(y)| &\leq |\varphi(0)| + \int_0^1 |\langle \varphi'(ty), y \rangle| dt \leq |\varphi(0)| + \|y\| \int_0^1 \|\varphi'(ty)\| dt \leq \\ &\leq |\varphi(0)| + \|y\| \left(\int_0^1 \|\varphi'(ty) - \varphi'(0)\| dt + \|\varphi'(0)\| \right) \leq |\varphi(0)| + \frac{\nu}{2} \|y\|^2 + \|\varphi'(0)\| \|y\| . \end{aligned}$$

From this, we then get

$$\frac{1}{2}\|y - y_0\|^2 - \varphi(y) \geq \frac{1-\nu}{2}\|y\|^2 - (\|\varphi'(0)\| + \|y_0\|)\|y\| + \frac{1}{2}\|y_0\|^2 - |\varphi(0)|$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\|y\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2}\|y - y_0\|^2 - \varphi(y) = +\infty$$

which yields our first claim. Then, the functional $y \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|y - y_0\|^2 - \varphi(y)$ has a global minimum, since it is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. But the critical points of this functional are exactly the fixed points of the operator $\varphi' + y_0$ that is a contraction. So, the functional has a unique local minimum (that is its global minimum). $\triangle$

Proof of Theorem 1. First, note that, for each $\gamma > L$, the operator $\frac{1}{\gamma}J'$ is a contraction, and so, by Proposition 2, the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma}J'(x)$ has a unique global minimum, say $x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$. Fix $\gamma > L$. By Proposition 1, we have $J(x_0) \leq J(x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}})$. We claim that $J(x_0) < J(x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}})$. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $J(x_0) = J(x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}})$. Then, by Proposition 1 again, we would have $x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} = x_0$. Consequently, the derivative of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 - \frac{1}{\gamma}J'(x)$ would vanish at x_0 , that is $-\frac{1}{\gamma}J'(x_0) = 0$, against one of the hypotheses. Then, we have

$$J(x_0) < J(x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}) \leq J(x)$$

for all $x \in M_{\frac{1}{L}}$, and so $J(x_0) < \alpha_0$. Clearly, $x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$ is the global minimum of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{\gamma}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 - J(x)$, while any $z \in M_{\frac{1}{L}}$ is a global minimum of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{L}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 - J(x)$. Consequently, if we apply Proposition 1 again (with $f(x) = -J(x)$, $g(x) = -\|x - x_0\|^2$, $a = \frac{L}{2}$, $b = \frac{\gamma}{2}$), for any $z \in M_{\frac{1}{L}}$, we get

$$-\|z - x_0\|^2 \leq -\|x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} - x_0\|^2,$$

and so

$$\beta_0 \geq \|x_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} - x_0\| > 0.$$

Now, to prove (i), fix $r \in]J(x_0), \alpha_0[$ and consider the function $\Psi : X \times [0, \frac{1}{L}] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$\Psi(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 + \lambda(r - J(x))$$

for all $(x, \lambda) \in X \times [0, \frac{1}{L}]$. Taken Proposition 2 into account, it is clear that the function Ψ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem A. Consequently, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \Psi(x, \lambda).$$

The functional $\sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \Psi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive, and so there exists $x^* \in X$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \Psi(x^*, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \Psi(x, \lambda).$$

Also, the function $\inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous, and so there exists $\lambda^* \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, \lambda^*) = \sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \inf_{x \in X} \Psi(x, \lambda) .$$

Hence, from this it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x^* - x_0\|^2 + \lambda^* (r - J(x^*)) = \inf_{x \in X} \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 + \lambda^* (r - J(x)) = \sup_{\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{L}]} \frac{1}{2} \|x^* - x_0\|^2 + \lambda (r - J(x^*)) .$$

We claim that $J(x^*) = r$. Indeed, if it were $J(x^*) < r$, then we would have $\lambda^* = \frac{1}{L}$, and so $x^* \in M_{\frac{1}{L}}$, against the fact that $r < \alpha_0$. If it were $J(x^*) > r$, then we would have $\lambda^* = 0$, and so $x^* = x_0$, against the fact that $J(x_0) < r$. We then have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x^* - x_0\|^2 = \inf_{x \in X} \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 + \lambda^* (r - J(x)) .$$

This implies, on one hand, that $\lambda^* < \frac{1}{L}$ (since $r < \alpha_0$) and, on the other hand, that each global minimum (and x^* is so) of the restriction to $J^{-1}(r)$ of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2$ is a global minimum in X of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 - \lambda^* J(x)$. But this functional (just because $\lambda^* < \frac{1}{L}$) has a unique global minimum, and so (i) follows. Let us now prove (ii). To this end, fix $r \in]0, \beta_0[$ and consider the function $\Phi : X \times [L, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|x - x_0\|^2 - r^2) - J(x)$$

for all $(x, \lambda) \in X \times [L, +\infty[$. Applying Theorem A, we get

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [L, +\infty[} \inf_{x \in X} \Phi(x, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [L, +\infty[} \Phi(x, \lambda) .$$

Arguing as before (note, in particular, that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{x \in X} \Phi(x, \lambda) = -\infty$), we get $\hat{x} \in X$ and $\hat{\lambda} \in [L, +\infty[$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [L, +\infty[} \Phi(\hat{x}, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [L, +\infty[} \Phi(x, \lambda)$$

and

$$\inf_{x \in X} \Phi(x, \hat{\lambda}) = \sup_{\lambda \in [L, +\infty[} \inf_{x \in X} \Phi(x, \lambda) .$$

So that

$$\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{2} (\|\hat{x} - x_0\|^2 - r^2) - J(\hat{x}) = \inf_{x \in X} \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{2} (\|x - x_0\|^2 - r^2) - J(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in [L, +\infty[} \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|\hat{x} - x_0\|^2 - r^2) - J(\hat{x}) .$$

From this it follows at once that $\|\hat{x} - x_0\|^2 \leq r^2$. But, if it were $\|\hat{x} - x_0\|^2 < r^2$ we would have $\hat{\lambda} = L$. This, in turn, would imply that $\hat{x} \in M_{\frac{1}{L}}$, against the fact that $r < \beta_0$. Hence, we have $\|\hat{x} - x_0\|^2 = r^2$. Consequently

$$-\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} J(\hat{x}) = \inf_{x \in X} \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} (\|x - x_0\|^2 - r^2) - \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} J(x) .$$

This implies, on one hand, that $\hat{\lambda} > L$ (since $r < \beta_0$) and, on the other hand, that each global maximum (and $\hat{x}$ is so) of the restriction of the functional J to the set $S(x_0, r)$ is a global minimum in X of the functional $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|^2 - \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}}J(x)$. Since $\hat{\lambda} > L$, this functional has a unique global minimum, and so (ii) follows. $\triangle$

REMARK 1. - It is clear from the proof that the assumption $J'(x_0) \neq 0$ has been used to prove $\alpha_0 > J(x_0)$ and $\beta_0 > 0$, while it has no role in showing (i) and (ii). However, when $J'(x_0) = 0$, it can happen that $\alpha_0 = J(x_0)$, $\beta_0 = 0$, with (i) (resp. (ii)) holding for no $r > \alpha_0$ (resp. for no $r > 0$). To see this, take, for instance, $X = \mathbf{R}$, $J(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$, $x_0 = 0$.

3. An application

From now on, Ω is an open, bounded and connected subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$ with sufficiently smooth boundary, and X denotes the space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, with the usual norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Lipschitzian function, with Lipschitz constant μ .

Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. As usual, a classical solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (P_{\lambda})$$

is any $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, zero on $\partial\Omega$, which satisfies the equation pointwise in Ω .

For each $u \in X$, put

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{u(x)} f(\xi) d\xi \right) dx.$$

By classical results, the functional J is continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly continuous in X , and one has

$$J'(u)(v) = \int_{\Omega} f(u(x))v(x) dx$$

for all $u, v \in X$. Moreover, by a standard regularity result, the critical points in X of the functional $u \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda J(u)$ are exactly the classical solutions of problem (P_{λ}) .

Denote by λ_1 the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|$ for all $u \in X$.

We are now in a position to state the following

THEOREM 2. - Assume that $f(0) \neq 0$. For each $r > 0$, put

$$\gamma(r) = \sup_{\|u\|^2=r} J(u) .$$

Further, put

$$\delta_0 = \inf_{u \in M} \|u\|^2$$

where M is the set of all global minima in X of the functional $u \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu} J(u)$.

Then, $\delta_0 > 0$, the function γ is C^1 and γ' is positive in $]0, \delta_0[$ and there exists a continuous function $\varphi :]0, \delta_0[\rightarrow X$ such that, for each $r \in]0, \delta_0[$, $\varphi(r)$ is a classical solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{1}{2\gamma'(r)} f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

satisfying $\|\varphi(r)\|^2 = r$ and $J(\varphi(r)) = \gamma(r)$.

PROOF. Fix $u, v, w \in X$, with $\|w\| = 1$. We have

$$|J'(u)(w) - J'(v)(w)| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f(u(x)) - f(v(x))| |w(x)| dx \leq \mu \|u - v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\mu}{\lambda_1} \|u - v\| ,$$

and hence

$$\|J'(u) - J'(v)\| \leq \frac{\mu}{\lambda_1} \|u - v\| .$$

That is, J' is Lipschitzian in X , with Lipschitz constant $\frac{\mu}{\lambda_1}$. Moreover, since $f(0) \neq 0$, we have $J'(u) \neq 0$ for all $u \in X$. Then, thanks to Theorem 1, for each $r \in]0, \delta_0[$, the restriction of the functional J to the sphere $S(0, \sqrt{r})$ has a unique maximum. At this point, taken into account that $\gamma(r) > 0$ for all $r > 0$, the conclusion follows directly from Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.13 of [2]. $\triangle$

References

- [1] B. RICCERI, *Minimax theorems for limits of parametrized functions having at most one local minimum lying in a certain set*, preprint.
- [2] M. SCHECHTER and K. TINTAREV, *Spherical maxima in Hilbert space and semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Differential Integral Equations, **3** (1990), 889-899.
- [3] M. SCHECHTER and K. TINTAREV, *Points of spherical maxima and solvability of semilinear elliptic equations*, Canad. J. Math., **43** (1991), 825-831.
- [4] M. SCHECHTER and K. TINTAREV, *Eigenvalues for semilinear boundary value problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **113** (1991), 197-208.

- [5] M. SCHECHTER and K. TINTAREV, *Families of 'first eigenfunctions' for semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Duke Math. J., **62** (1991), 453-465.

Department of Mathematics
University of Catania
Viale A. Doria 6
95125 Catania
Italy
e-mail address: ricceri@dmf.unict.it